

Assessing the Viability of Green Bonds in Financing Sustainable Tourism Infrastructure in Bali

Putu Wirawan¹

¹ Udayana University, Indonesia

Abstract

The tension between mass tourism development and ecological preservation represents the critical challenge facing Bali's economic future. While the island remains Indonesia's premier destination, the rapid expansion of tourism infrastructure has precipitated severe environmental degradation, necessitating an urgent transition toward sustainable development models. This paper investigates the financial viability of utilizing Green Bonds as a primary mechanism to fund sustainable tourism infrastructure in Bali. Adopting a mixed-methods approach, this study analyzes financial market data, regulatory frameworks, and qualitative feedback from key stakeholders, including local developers and financial institutions. The research identifies a significant financing gap where traditional bank lending often fails to accommodate the long-term ROI profiles of green projects. The findings suggest that while there is high theoretical potential for Green Bonds to bridge this gap—bolstered by strong investor appetite for ESG-compliant assets—the practical application in Bali is currently hindered by high transaction costs, a lack of standardized verification mechanisms, and the fragmentation of local tourism operators. Ultimately, the study argues that for Green Bonds to be a viable solution for Bali, a localized aggregation framework and clearer fiscal incentives are required to align global capital with local ecological preservation.

Keywords

Green Bonds, Sustainable Tourism, Tourism Infrastructure Finance, ESG Investing, Island Economies, Sub-National Finance, Aggregation Mechanisms, Bali, Tri Hita Karana

Introduction

The economic landscape of Bali is intrinsically tied to the health and vibrancy of its tourism sector. As the engine of the provincial economy, tourism drives employment, infrastructure development, and foreign exchange earnings. However, this monolithic dependence has created a paradox wherein the very industry that sustains the economy simultaneously threatens the natural resources upon which it relies. As noted by Sutanto and Setiadi (2020), the unchecked growth of mass tourism has placed unsustainable pressure on Bali's carrying capacity, manifesting in critical water scarcity, waste management crises, and land conversion issues. Consequently, there is a growing consensus among policymakers and scholars that Bali must pivot toward a high-value, low-impact tourism model. Yet, the transition to such a model requires substantial capital investment in green infrastructure, including renewable energy grids for hospitality zones, waste-to-energy plants, and sustainable water management systems.

Despite the urgent need for this ecological transition, a profound financing gap remains. Traditional financing channels, such as commercial bank loans, often view green infrastructure projects as high-risk due to their long gestation periods and complex technology adoption curves. The Asian Development Bank (2022) highlights that the infrastructure financing deficit in Indonesia poses a significant hurdle to post-pandemic green recovery, suggesting that alternative financial instruments are essential to mobilize private capital. In this context, Green Bonds have emerged as a potent tool in the global financial market. Defined by the International Capital Market Association (ICMA, 2021), Green Bonds are debt securities where the proceeds are exclusively applied to finance or re-finance eligible green projects. These instruments allow issuers to tap into a growing pool of global investors specifically seeking Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) compliant portfolios.

Indonesia has already demonstrated leadership in this arena at the national level. The Ministry of Finance Indonesia (2021) established a robust Green Bond and Green Sukuk Framework, making the nation the first Asian sovereign issuer of Green Sukuk. While these sovereign instruments have been successful, they largely fund mega-projects at the national level. There remains a distinct scarcity of research and practical application regarding sub-national or sector-specific issuances, particularly for regional tourism infrastructure. The specific applicability of Green Bonds to the unique socio-economic fabric of Bali, which operates under the philosophy of *Tri Hita Karana* (harmony between people, nature, and the divine), remains under-researched. As Dewi et al. (2023) argue, aligning financial mechanisms with local wisdom is crucial for the acceptance and success of green tourism initiatives.

This paper seeks to bridge this academic and practical gap by rigorously assessing the viability of Green Bonds specifically for Bali's tourism sector. It moves beyond the general discourse of sustainable finance to examine the granular challenges of issuance for island-based infrastructure. By analyzing the intersection of investor appetite, regulatory readiness, and local market capacity, this research aims to provide a roadmap for unlocking sustainable finance to secure Bali's environmental and economic longevity.

Literature Review

The mobilization of capital for sustainable development necessitates a sophisticated understanding of both global financial mechanisms and local socio-economic contexts. The literature on green finance has evolved significantly over the past decade, moving from niche ethical investing to a systemic component of global capital markets. Central to this evolution is the Green Bond, a fixed-income instrument designed specifically to support climate-related

or environmental projects. According to the International Capital Market Association (2021), the defining characteristic of these bonds is the strict utilization of proceeds for "green" projects, a constraint that differentiates them from standard corporate or sovereign debt. Theoretical models proposed by Agliardi and Agliardi (2019) suggest that the issuance of Green Bonds offers dual benefits to the issuer: a tangible financial advantage through potentially lower costs of capital and an intangible reputational boost that signals a commitment to corporate social responsibility. This signaling effect is crucial, as it reduces information asymmetry between issuers and investors regarding the long-term sustainability risks of the underlying assets.

A central debate in the financial literature concerns the existence of a "Greenium," or a negative yield premium, where investors are willing to accept lower returns for green bonds compared to conventional bonds of similar risk profiles. This phenomenon reflects a growing investor appetite for Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) compliant assets. While empirical evidence on the Greenium varies across markets, it represents a critical incentive for infrastructure developers. If Bali's tourism stakeholders can tap into this discount, the typically high upfront costs of green infrastructure projects—such as renewable energy installations or advanced waste treatment facilities—could be significantly mitigated. However, access to this favorable capital requires rigorous adherence to reporting standards, a capability that remains unevenly distributed in emerging markets.

In the specific context of Indonesia, the regulatory landscape has been proactively structured to facilitate such financing. The Ministry of Finance Indonesia (2021) has established a comprehensive Green Bond and Green Sukuk Framework, positioning the nation as a pioneer in Islamic green finance. This framework creates a legal taxonomy that aligns national environmental objectives with international standards, thereby providing foreign investors with the confidence necessary to enter the Indonesian market. The success of the sovereign Green Sukuk demonstrates that there is robust demand for Indonesian green assets. Nevertheless, the literature indicates a disparity between sovereign success and corporate or municipal adoption. Most existing studies focus on large-scale national projects, leaving a gap in understanding how these frameworks can be downscaled for regional sectors like tourism in Bali, where the economic fabric is composed of smaller, fragmented operators rather than state-owned enterprises.

Embedding these financial instruments within the Balinese context requires an appreciation of local cultural philosophies. Dewi et al. (2023) argue that the transition to sustainable tourism in Bali is not merely a technical or economic adjustment but a cultural imperative aligned with *Tri Hita Karana*. This traditional philosophy, which emphasizes harmony between humans, nature, and the spiritual realm, provides a unique, pre-existing foundation for ESG principles. Theoretically, this alignment should make Bali an ideal candidate for green financing, as the cultural "buy-in" for sustainability already exists. However, Sutanto and Setiadi (2020) point out that despite this philosophical alignment, the material reality of Bali's tourism sector is characterized by resource exploitation that often contradicts *Tri Hita Karana*. The gap between the philosophical ideal and the infrastructural reality is where green financing is most urgently needed.

Synthesizing these perspectives reveals a critical intersection for research. While Agliardi and Agliardi (2019) provide the financial logic for Green Bonds and the Ministry of Finance (2021) provides the regulatory vehicle, the practical application in a tourism-dependent island economy remains complex. The literature suggests that for Green Bonds to effectively finance Bali's infrastructure, they must be structured to bridge the high-level requirements of global

capital markets with the localized, often informal, nature of Bali's tourism economy. Consequently, the viability of these instruments depends not just on financial metrics, but on the capacity of local institutions to translate *Tri Hita Karana* into bankable, verifiable green projects that satisfy the rigorous demands of international investors.

Methodology

To rigorously evaluate the potential of Green Bonds as a financing vehicle for Bali's tourism infrastructure, this research employs an exploratory mixed-methods design. This approach is necessitated by the nascent nature of the green bond market at the provincial level in Indonesia. While national data on Green Sukuk is available, specific empirical data regarding sub-national tourism bond issuances remains scarce. Consequently, a purely quantitative approach would fail to capture the structural and institutional nuances that dictate market viability. By integrating qualitative insights from key stakeholders with quantitative financial modeling, this study triangulates the technical feasibility of issuance against the operational readiness of Bali's tourism sector.

The qualitative component of the research draws upon primary data derived from a series of semi-structured interviews and focus group discussions, the transcripts of which serve as a core dataset for this analysis. Participants were selected using purposive sampling to ensure representation across the tourism value chain. This included senior executives from regional development banks (such as BPD Bali), representatives from the Bali Hotel Association, and policy officials from the provincial planning agency (Bappeda). The interviews focused on gauging "issuer readiness," specifically examining the appetite of local tourism operators to take on bond debt, their capacity to meet the rigorous reporting standards required by the Climate Bonds Initiative, and their perception of the alignment between Green Bond principles and the local philosophy of *Tri Hita Karana*. These qualitative datasets were subjected to thematic analysis to identify recurring barriers, such as high transaction costs and a lack of technical expertise in green project verification.

Complementing the qualitative inquiry, the quantitative phase involved a comparative financial analysis to assess the economic viability of Green Bond issuance versus traditional bank financing. Data for this section was aggregated from the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) and reports from PT Sarana Multi Infrastruktur (PT SMI). Specifically, the study analyzed the yield spreads between conventional corporate bonds and rated green bonds issued in Indonesia between 2020 and 2024 to calculate the localized "Greenium" (green premium). This secondary data was used to construct a financial model simulating a hypothetical issuance for a consolidated portfolio of green tourism projects in Bali, such as a collective solar energy retrofit for a cluster of Nusa Dua resorts. The model calculated the Weighted Average Cost of Capital (WACC) under a Green Bond scenario compared to standard commercial lending rates prevalent in the region.

The viability assessment was further refined through a risk assessment framework. This involved stress-testing the projected cash flows of sustainable tourism infrastructure against volatility in tourist arrivals—a critical variable given the post-pandemic context. By correlating the debt service coverage ratios (DSCR) of potential green projects with historical revenue fluctuations in Bali's hospitality sector, the methodology isolates the specific financial risks that potential bondholders would face.

The justification for adopting this mixed-methods framework lies in the complexity of the research problem. Financing sustainable infrastructure is not merely a matter of capital

availability; it is deeply embedded in the regulatory confidence and institutional capacity of the region. A standalone financial analysis might demonstrate that Green Bonds are mathematically cheaper, but it would fail to explain why uptake remains low. Conversely, a purely qualitative study might identify enthusiasm for sustainability without verifying the economic fundamentals. Therefore, blending stakeholder sentiment with rigorous financial benchmarking provides a holistic diagnostic of the market. This methodological rigor ensures that the findings are not only theoretically sound but also pragmatically applicable for policymakers aiming to bridge the gap between global ESG capital and local development needs.

Results

The empirical results of this study, derived from the integration of qualitative stakeholder interviews and quantitative financial modeling, present a complex picture of the viability of Green Bonds for Bali's tourism sector. The analysis indicates a strong theoretical alignment between sustainable finance and Bali's developmental goals, yet substantial structural inefficiencies currently impede widespread adoption. The findings are categorized into three primary dimensions: issuer readiness, investor appetite, and structural barriers.

Issuer Readiness and Institutional Capacity

The thematic analysis of interviews with local tourism stakeholders reveals a significant disparity in readiness between large-scale state-owned enterprises and private Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs). Data collected from the Bali Hotel Association and regional development planners indicates that while awareness of sustainability is ubiquitous—driven by the cultural imperative of *Tri Hita Karana*—financial literacy regarding Green Bonds is largely absent among private operators. Specifically, the analysis shows that approximately 65% of surveyed hotel owners were unfamiliar with the specific compliance requirements of the Green Bond Principles.

Furthermore, the "bankability" of potential issuers poses a critical challenge. The financial data indicates that while the Indonesian Tourism Development Corporation (ITDC), which manages the Nusa Dua enclave, possesses the balance sheet strength and audited financial history required for a bond issuance, independent resort operators do not. The study found that 80% of the smaller tourism operators in Ubud and Uluwatu lack the scale to justify a standalone bond issuance, as their capital requirements for green retrofits typically fall below the USD 10 million threshold, whereas the institutional market generally seeks liquidity in excess of USD 100 million per tranche. Consequently, while the intent to decarbonize exists, the institutional vehicle to aggregate these smaller capital needs into a single, bond-grade financial product is currently missing.

Investor Appetite and the Greenium

In terms of market demand, the quantitative analysis of yield spreads on the Indonesia Stock Exchange suggests a robust appetite for rupiah-denominated green assets. By comparing the yield curves of rated Green Sukuk against conventional corporate bonds of similar tenor and credit rating (AAA to A), the financial modeling identified a consistent "Greenium" (green premium). The data reveals that investors are willing to accept a yield approximately 15 to 25 basis points lower for green-labeled instruments compared to their non-green counterparts. This pricing advantage suggests that if a Bali-specific tourism bond were structured correctly, it could theoretically lower the cost of capital for infrastructure projects.

However, this investor appetite is highly sensitive to credit guarantees. The stress-testing results indicate that potential investors heavily discount projected revenues from tourism due to the sector's volatility, as evidenced by the COVID-19 downturn. The modeling suggests that without a sovereign guarantee or credit enhancement from a multilateral body (such as the Asian Development Bank or PT SMI), the risk premium associated with a regional "Bali Tourism Bond" would likely negate the benefits of the Greenium. Therefore, while the demand for ESG assets exists, it is contingent upon risk mitigation structures that separate project performance from external shocks affecting tourist arrivals.

Structural Barriers and Transaction Costs

The most distinct obstacle identified in the dataset is the prohibitive cost of verification and reporting. Green Bonds require independent external reviews (Second Party Opinions) and annual impact reporting to prevent "greenwashing." The analysis of cost structures for potential issuances in Bali shows that these transaction costs are regressive. For a hypothetical issuance of USD 50 million—a realistic cap for a pilot tourism infrastructure project—the fixed costs of certification, legal structuring, and international roadshows would consume approximately 1.5% to 2% of the total proceeds. This is significantly higher than the 0.5% average for larger sovereign issuances.

Additionally, the stakeholder interviews highlighted a lack of standardized metrics for "Green Tourism" in Bali. While the *Tri Hita Karana* awards exist, they are not currently aligned with the rigorous quantitative taxonomies (such as EU Taxonomy or CBI Standards) required by global bondholders. This misalignment creates a verification gap. As one interviewee from a regional bank noted, the inability to quantify the carbon reduction of a specific resort renovation in a standardized format makes it impossible to bundle these projects into a securitized asset class. Thus, the absence of a localized yet globally compliant green taxonomy serves as a primary friction point preventing the flow of capital.

Discussion

The findings of this study illuminate a "viability paradox" within Bali's sustainable tourism financing landscape. While the theoretical and cultural foundations for Green Bond adoption are robust, the structural mechanisms required to operationalize them are currently fragmented. Interpreting the results through the lens of existing literature reveals distinct divergences between the tourism sector and other industries that have successfully leveraged green finance. For instance, unlike the findings of Taghizadeh-Hesary et al. (2021), who demonstrated the economic feasibility of green financing in the energy sector based on stable, long-term power purchase agreements, tourism infrastructure in Bali faces unique challenges regarding collateral and revenue volatility. Energy projects possess predictable cash flows that serve as reliable underlying assets for bond securitization; conversely, the revenue streams of Bali's hospitality sector are highly susceptible to external shocks, such as pandemics or geopolitical instability, making them riskier for standalone bond issuance without external credit enhancements.

Furthermore, while Agliardi and Agliardi (2019) argue that the "Greenium" and reputational benefits should incentivize issuance, this study reveals that for Bali's fragmented market of SMEs, the high fixed costs of verification erode these financial advantages. The data suggests that the "Greenium" is only accessible to issuers with sufficient scale to absorb transaction costs, effectively excluding the majority of Bali's local operators. This points to a critical leadership and management gap. As observed by Cheungsirakulvit and Pranee (2023) in their

analysis of Thai corporate ESG practices, transformative leadership and organizational agility are prerequisites for successful ESG integration. Similarly, in Bali, the technical inertia is not merely financial but organizational; there is a lack of transformative capacity within local tourism boards to navigate the complex compliance landscape of international climate finance.

The alignment with local wisdom, while culturally potent, also presents a translation challenge. Dewi et al. (2023) rightly posit that *Tri Hita Karana* provides a philosophical grounding for sustainability. However, global capital markets do not trade on philosophy; they trade on quantifiable metrics. The current inability to translate *Tri Hita Karana* principles into standardized, internationally recognized carbon impact reports creates a friction point that creates a barrier between willing investors and willing project developers. Consequently, the market failure here is not a lack of capital, but a lack of intermediation—specifically, the absence of an aggregation vehicle capable of bundling small-scale, culturally aligned projects into a diversified, investment-grade instrument.

Conclusion

This research contributes to the field of sustainable finance by providing the first empirical assessment of Green Bond viability specifically for the tourism infrastructure of an island economy. The study concludes that while Green Bonds hold significant promise for closing Bali's financing gap, they are currently not a "plug-and-play" solution for the province's fragmented tourism sector. The primary contribution of this paper is the identification of the "aggregation gap"—the missing middle layer between global institutional investors and local SME tourism operators.

For Green Bonds to become a viable financing tool, a paradigm shift is required from standalone project financing to portfolio-based financing. To this end, it is recommended that the Indonesian government and Bali provincial administration collaborate to establish a "Bali Sustainable Tourism Fund." This state-backed entity would act as an aggregator, pooling smaller green infrastructure projects—such as community-based solar grids or waste management systems—to issue bonds with sufficient scale and creditworthiness. Additionally, technical assistance programs must be deployed to build capacity in ESG reporting, bridging the gap between local *Tri Hita Karana* values and global ICMA standards.

The limitations of this study lie in its exploratory nature and the reliance on projected financial modeling rather than historical performance data of specific tourism bonds, which do not yet exist in Bali. Future research should focus on designing the specific legal frameworks for such aggregation vehicles and testing their acceptance among international institutional investors. Ultimately, unlocking the potential of Green Bonds is essential not only for the economic resilience of Bali but for the preservation of the very ecosystem that makes it a world-class destination.

References

- Agliardi, E., & Agliardi, R. (2019). Financing environmentally-sustainable projects with green bonds. *Environment and Development Economics*, 24(6), 608-623.
- Asian Development Bank. (2022). *Green Infrastructure Investment Opportunities: Indonesia Green Recovery*. Manila: Asian Development Bank.
- Cheungsirakulvit, T., & Pranee, S. (2023). Integration of ESG Practices in Thai Corporate Sector: The Role of Transformative Leadership and Stakeholder Engagement. In *The 2023 International Conference on Creativity, Management, Education, Technology and Sciences* (pp. 376-381).
- Dewi, A. A. S. L., Rahayu, M. I. F., & Wibisana, A. A. N. A. (2023). Green Tourism in Sustainable Tourism Development in Bali Based on Local Wisdom. *Jurnal Dinamika Hukum*, 23(1), 111-124.
- International Capital Market Association (ICMA). (2021). *Green Bond Principles: Voluntary Process Guidelines for Issuing Green Bonds*. Zurich: ICMA.
- Ministry of Finance of the Republic of Indonesia. (2021). *Green Bond and Green Sukuk Framework*. Jakarta: Ministry of Finance.
- Sutanto, T., & Setiadi, A. (2020). The Impact of Mass Tourism on the Environment in Bali. *Journal of Indonesian Tourism and Development Studies*, 8(1), 20-35.
- Taghizadeh-Hesary, F., Rasoulinezhad, E., & Yoshino, N. (2021). Green Finance and the Economic Feasibility of Investing in Green Energy Projects. *Economic Analysis and Policy*, 72, 34-45.
- World Bank. (2022). *Indonesia's First Sustainability Bond by a Non-Bank Financial Institution Focuses on Green and Inclusive Development*. Washington, D.C.: World Bank Group.