Mitigating Silent Attrition: Innovative HR Strategies for Gig Worker Retention in the Post-Pandemic Tourism and Service Sectors

Jennifer A. Vance

Robert K. Lee

Abstract

The post-pandemic economic landscape has witnessed a rapid expansion of the gig economy, particularly within the tourism, hospitality, and digital service sectors. However, this growth is increasingly threatened by "quiet quitting"—a phenomenon where workers psychologically disengage and perform only the bare minimum to retain their platform status. This paper explores the drivers of quiet quitting among decentralized workforces, moving beyond traditional employment models to examine algorithmically managed gig workers. Utilizing a mixed-methods approach involving 450 gig workers and 25 qualitative interviews across Southeast Asia's digital travel and service platforms, the study identifies emotional exhaustion, algorithmic opacity, and the violation of psychological contracts as primary catalysts for silent attrition. Drawing upon Psychological Contract Theory and the Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) model, we propose an innovative Human Resource Management (HRM) framework tailored for the gig economy. The recommended strategies include algorithmic empathy, micro-incentivization, gamified dynamic recognition, and proactive community well-being support. These interventions aim to transform transactional gig relationships into sustainable, engaged partnerships, providing actionable insights for platform managers and HR practitioners seeking to bolster retention and service quality in the modern digital economy.

Keywords: *Quiet Quitting, Gig Economy, Human Resource Management, Employee Retention, Tourism Innovation, Algorithmic Management, Post-Pandemic Workforce.*

1. Introduction

The transition into the post-pandemic era has fundamentally altered the global labor market, accelerating the adoption of alternative work arrangements and solidifying the gig economy as a pillar of modern commerce. This shift is particularly pronounced in the tourism, hospitality, and digital service sectors, where platforms facilitating digital tour bookings, food delivery, and ride-hailing have become ubiquitous. However, as organizations adapt to these decentralized workforce models, a subtle yet pervasive challenge has emerged: the phenomenon of "quiet quitting."

Unlike the "Great Resignation," where employees formally exit the workforce, quiet quitting is characterized by a psychological withdrawal. Workers consciously choose to perform the absolute minimum required by their roles, rejecting the notion of going "above and beyond" without commensurate compensation (Formica & Sfodera, 2022). While initially observed in traditional corporate environments, this behavior has deeply permeated the gig economy. Gig workers—often operating under algorithmic management rather than human supervision—face unique stressors, including income volatility, lack of corporate welfare, and emotional exhaustion from constant customer-facing interactions.

In the context of the digital service and travel industries, where service quality and customer experience are paramount, the disengagement of gig workers poses a critical threat to organizational sustainability. The 2026 International Conference on Creativity, Management, Education, Technology, and Sciences (ICCMETS) emphasizes the need for innovative management paradigms to address modern workforce challenges. Aligning with this theme, this paper investigates the antecedents of quiet quitting among gig workers and proposes targeted Human Resource (HR) strategies to mitigate this "silent attrition." By reimagining HR practices for algorithmically managed workforces, this research provides a comprehensive framework for fostering engagement and retention in the gig economy.

2. Literature Review

2.1 The Concept and Evolution of "Quiet Quitting"

Quiet quitting (QQ) has gained significant academic and media attention in the post-pandemic landscape. Scholars define QQ as a behavioral response where employees restrict their effort to the exact parameters of their job descriptions, driven by a lack of organizational support, burnout, or a desire to restore work-life balance (Serenko, 2023). Unlike traditional labor actions such as "work-to-rule"—which are typically collective and disruptive in intent—QQ is a deeply individualized coping mechanism.

Academic investigations correlate QQ with low job engagement, diminished organizational citizenship behavior (OCB), and psychological distancing (Hamouche et al., 2023). Alami et al. (2024) note that while the employee remains physically (or digitally) present, their enthusiasm and discretionary effort are heavily eroded. In essence, it is an act of self-preservation against highly demanding work conditions that offer inadequate intrinsic or extrinsic rewards.

2.2 The Gig Economy in Tourism and Digital Services

The gig economy relies on independent contractors and freelancers to perform short-term, flexible tasks, heavily mediated by digital platforms. Post-pandemic recovery in the travel and hospitality sectors has heavily leveraged gig labor to manage fluctuating demand. From freelance digital tour guides to delivery partners fulfilling orders for local culinary establishments, gig workers form the backbone of modern service delivery.

However, gig work operates outside the boundaries of traditional HR frameworks. Mahand and Caldwell (2023) highlight that the decline in worker commitment often stems from a failure of management to honor fundamental leadership responsibilities. In the gig economy, "management" is frequently replaced by algorithms, leading to what scholars term "algorithmic opacity"—a lack of transparency in how tasks are assigned, evaluated, and compensated. This systemic dehumanization exacerbates feelings of isolation and commodification among workers.

2.3 Theoretical Frameworks

This study is grounded in two primary theoretical frameworks:

1. **Psychological Contract Theory:** The unwritten expectations between an employer and employee. When gig platforms fail to provide expected stability or support, workers perceive a breach of this contract, prompting a recalibration of their inputs to match the perceived diminished outputs (Equity Theory) (Alami et al., 2024).

2. **Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) Model:** This model posits that job strain occurs when job demands (e.g., emotional labor, physical fatigue, algorithmic pressure) exceed job resources (e.g., autonomy, feedback, community support). Formica and Sfodera (2022) utilize JD-R to

explain how chronic depletion of resources without adequate replenishment leads to burnout and, consequently, quiet quitting.

3. Methodology

To comprehensively analyze the quiet quitting phenomenon in the gig economy, this study employed an exploratory sequential mixed-methods design. This approach allows for the quantification of QQ prevalence while capturing the nuanced, lived experiences of gig workers in the travel and service sectors.

3.1 Sample and Data Collection

The target population consisted of active gig workers operating within digital tourism and delivery service platforms across Southeast Asia (specifically Thailand, Vietnam, and Malaysia).

- **Phase 1: Quantitative Survey:** A digital survey was distributed via professional networks and gig-worker community forums, yielding 450 valid responses. The sample comprised ride-hailing drivers (38%), digital/freelance tour guides (27%), food delivery personnel (22%), and freelance hospitality staff (13%).
- **Phase 2: Qualitative Interviews:** A purposive subset of 25 respondents who scored highly on the QQ intention scale was selected for in-depth, semi-structured interviews to explore the underlying catalysts of their disengagement.

3.2 Measures

The quantitative survey utilized validated scales adapted for the gig context:

- **Quiet Quitting Intention:** Measured using Serenko's (2023) 5-item QQ scale (e.g., "I only complete the absolute minimum tasks required by the platform to avoid deactivation"). Reliability: Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.88$.
- **Emotional Exhaustion:** Adapted from the Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI).
- **Algorithmic Opacity:** A bespoke 4-item scale assessing the perceived fairness and clarity of platform algorithms.
- **Perceived Platform Support:** Adapted from traditional Perceived Organizational Support (POS) scales.

3.3 Data Analysis

Quantitative data was analyzed using hierarchical multiple regression to identify the predictive power of job demands (emotional exhaustion, algorithmic opacity) and resources (perceived support) on QQ. Qualitative transcripts were subjected to thematic analysis to identify recurring patterns regarding worker disengagement.

4. Results

4.1 Quantitative Findings

The descriptive statistics indicated a high prevalence of quiet quitting behaviors, with 54% of the surveyed gig workers agreeing or strongly agreeing that they intentionally withhold discretionary effort.

A hierarchical regression analysis was conducted to predict QQ intention. Control variables (Age, Tenure) were entered in Model 1, followed by the main predictors in Model 2.

Table 1: Hierarchical Regression Analysis Predicting Quiet Quitting Intention

Variables	**Model 1 (Beta)**	**Model 2 (Beta)**
Control Variables		
Age	-0.05	-0.02
Platform Tenure	0.12*	0.08
Main Predictors		
Algorithmic Opacity		0.35***
Emotional Exhaustion		0.42***
Perceived Platform Support		-0.29**
Model Fit		
R^2	0.03	0.41
Adjusted R^2	0.02	0.39

*Note: *p < .05, p < .01, *p < .001*

The results in Table 1 demonstrate that emotional exhaustion ($\beta = 0.42$, $p < .001$) and algorithmic opacity ($\beta = 0.35$, $p < .001$) are the strongest positive predictors of quiet quitting. Conversely, perceived platform support acts as a significant mitigating factor ($\beta = -0.29$, $p < .01$). The inclusion of the main predictors explained an additional 38% of the variance in QQ intention.

4.2 Qualitative Themes

The thematic analysis of the 25 interviews provided profound context to the quantitative data. Three overarching themes emerged:

1. **The "Faceless Boss" (Algorithmic Fatigue):** Workers expressed deep frustration with automated management. One freelance tour guide noted, *"You can't negotiate with an app. If a client leaves an unfair rating because of the weather, the algorithm still penalizes me. Eventually, you stop caring and just do enough to keep the account active."*

2. **Commoditization of Service:** Many respondents in the hospitality and travel sector felt their unique skills were reduced to basic metrics. The lack of career progression or recognition for exceptional service led to a "why bother" mentality.

3. **Isolation and Lack of Corporate Welfare:** Unlike traditional employees, gig workers reported a complete absence of safety nets or community support, which Othman et al. (2025) identify as a primary breach of the psychological contract.

5. Discussion

The findings of this study illuminate the mechanisms driving silent attrition in the gig economy. Aligning with Hamouche et al. (2023), quiet quitting is not an inherent lack of ambition, but a rational coping strategy in response to unbalanced job demands and resources.

In the tourism and service sectors, the emotional labor required to maintain high customer satisfaction is immense. When this emotional expenditure is met with algorithmic opacity—

where workers do not understand how their efforts translate into rewards or penalties—the psychological contract is fractured. Mahand and Caldwell (2023) observed that traditional employee commitment declines when human supervisors fail to inspire. In the gig economy, the algorithm is the supervisor. When the algorithm lacks "empathy," the worker reciprocates with apathy.

Furthermore, the data suggests that gig workers are actively re-evaluating the centrality of work in their lives, a trend accelerated by the COVID-19 pandemic. To prevent the degradation of service quality, platforms must pivot from purely transactional HR models to relational models that acknowledge the human element behind the digital interface.

6. Strategic HR Interventions for Gig Worker Retention

To mitigate the quiet quitting phenomenon, HR practitioners and platform managers must implement structural changes. We propose a four-pillar framework designed for the post-pandemic digital gig economy.

6.1 Algorithmic Empathy and Transparent Communication

Platforms must transition from algorithmic opacity to algorithmic transparency. This involves:

- **Clear Metrics:** Providing workers with easy-to-understand dashboards explaining exactly how ratings, task allocation, and dynamic pricing are calculated.
- **Appeal Mechanisms:** Instituting human-in-the-loop (HITL) appeal systems where workers can contest unfair algorithmic penalties (e.g., poor ratings due to factors outside their control, such as traffic or weather).
- **Fatigue Recognition:** Programming algorithms to recognize signs of overwork and proactively suggest breaks without penalizing the worker's tier status, aligning with the JD-R model of resource replenishment.

6.2 Micro-Incentivization and Gamified Recognition

Traditional annual bonuses and promotions do not exist in the gig economy. HR strategies must adapt by offering dynamic, short-term rewards.

- **Instant Gratification:** Implementing micro-bonuses for continuous high-quality service streaks rather than solely volume-based metrics.
- **Skill-Based Tiering:** Allowing workers in the travel and service sectors to earn badges (e.g., "Local Expert," "Language Specialist") that unlock higher base pay or priority bookings, thereby restoring a sense of career progression and professional pride.

6.3 Cultivating Platform-Worker Belonging

Addressing the isolation inherent in gig work is crucial for combating emotional exhaustion.

- **Digital Communities:** Creating platform-sponsored, moderated forums where workers can share strategies, offer peer support, and interact with management.
- **Voice and Representation:** Establishing gig-worker advisory boards that have direct communication channels with platform executives, ensuring that frontline realities shape corporate policy.

6.4 Proactive Well-being and Mental Health Support

To rebuild the psychological contract, organizations must demonstrate that they value the worker beyond their output.

- **Portable Benefits:** Partnering with third-party providers to offer subsidized access to mental health resources, financial planning, and basic insurance tailored for independent contractors.
- **Educational Opportunities:** Offering free micro-learning courses (e.g., language skills, digital literacy, hospitality management) that empower workers to upskill, thereby increasing their perceived value and loyalty to the platform facilitating their growth.

7. Conclusion

As the gig economy continues to mature, particularly within the dynamic spheres of tourism, hospitality, and digital services, organizational success will increasingly depend on the retention of skilled, engaged workers. The phenomenon of quiet quitting serves as a critical warning indicator that the transactional, algorithmically-driven management models of the past decade are reaching their sustainable limits.

This study demonstrates that when gig workers face emotional exhaustion and algorithmic opacity without adequate support, they will systematically withdraw their discretionary effort. To counteract this silent attrition, organizations must adopt innovative HR strategies that inject empathy, transparency, and community back into the digital workplace. By treating gig workers not as expendable nodes in a network, but as valued partners within a broader service ecosystem, platforms can cultivate resilience, foster brand loyalty, and deliver superior customer experiences in the post-pandemic era.

Future research should focus on longitudinal studies measuring the long-term ROI of implementing algorithmic empathy and portable benefits within specific subsets of the digital economy.

References

Alami, A., et al. (2024). Predictors of Quiet Quitting Among Employees in the Post-Pandemic Era. *Journal of Management Motivation*, 12(3), 45-61.

Detert, J. R. (2023). The behavioral consequences of quiet quitting in decentralized organizations. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 37(2), 211-229.

Formica, S., & Sfodera, F. (2022). The Great Resignation and Quiet Quitting paradigm shifts: An overview of current trends in the hospitality industry. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Management*, 52, 399-402.

Galanis, P., Aglaia, K., & Ioannis, M. (2024). The intersection of quiet quitting and the Job Demands-Resources model in service industries. *International Journal of Work Organization and Emotion*, 15(1), 77-94.

Hamouche, S., et al. (2023). Quiet Quitting: A phenomenon where employees disengage without formally resigning. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 44(8), 1012-1028.

Mahand, T., & Caldwell, C. (2023). Quiet Quitting – Causes and Opportunities. *Business and Management Research*, 12(1), 9-18.

Othman, M., et al. (2025). Modelling the significance of organizational conditions on quiet quitting intention among Generation Z gig workers. *Journal of Applied Psychology and Work*, 19(4), 305-322.

Prentice, C., et al. (2025). The illusion of autonomy: Algorithmic management and the erosion of the psychological contract in the gig economy. *Human Resource Management Review*, 35(2), 100-115.

Serenko, A. (2023). Drowning in silence: a scale development and validation of quiet quitting. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, 32(4), 721-740.